UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,138	05/01/2006 Rajendra Narayanrao Kankan		TPP31790	1165
77176 Novak, Druce &	7590 08/06/200 & Ouigg LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 I Street, N	I.W.	AULAKH, CHARANJIT		
Suite 1000, Wes WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/563	,138	KANKAN ET AL.		
		Examir	ner	Art Unit		
		Charan	jit S. Aulakh	1625		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) file this action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition losed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. pt for formal matters,	·	e merits is	
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/aca) Of the above claim(s) is/accallowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict the company of the c	re withdrawn from				
	ne specification is objected to by th	o Evaminor				
10)□ TI A R	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are pplicant may not request that any objected to by the properties of	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/563,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on May 5, 2008, the applicants have canceled claims 13-20 and amended claim 6.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 5, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding indefiniteness rejection and obviousness rejection. The applicants have amended claims to overcome prior art rejections. In regard to indefiniteness rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that the term ---- substantially --- is definite. The solvent is replaced partially or completely and therefore, it is not clear what is meant by substantially completely. Is it different from completely? As stated in the previous office action, this term is not defined in the specification and therefore, is indefinite. In regard to obviousness rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that the instant process is not obvious over the cited reference. Actually, the instant process appears to be identical to the process in example 3 of the cited reference and only differs by change of words. The applicants argue that the instant process crystallizes Finasteride by replacing the solvent with a non-solvent whereas the process of the cited reference precipitates Finasteride from the solvent by addition of a non-solvent. However, the instant process is directed to dissolving finasteride in a solvent and therefore, it is not clear how the solvent is removed partially or completely from this solution containing finasteride and then replaced by water. The process of the cited patent also replaces the solvent by

Application/Control Number: 10/563,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

addition of water. The applicants have not provided any data characterizing the finasteride form I using X-ray powder diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and FT-IR spectrum etc. to show that the form I obtained by the instant process is indeed different from the form I obtained by the cited reference.

Conclusion

- 4. Rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. Rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/563,138 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

(571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charanjit S. Aulakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625